UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,162	10/16/2003	Robert D. Harty	0006/01146 6814	
27197 CHERSKOV &	7590 07/24/200 2 FLAYNIK	EXAMINER		
	PERA BUILDING	PATEL, TAJASH D		
20 NORTH WA	ACKER DRIVE, SUIT 60606	E 144/	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		•	3765	
•			MAIL DATE	·DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/687,162	HARTY, ROBERT D.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tejash D. Patel	3765		

Before the Filing	of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tejash D. Patel	3765				
The MAILING DA	TE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2	2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
this application, applica places the application in	nt must timely file one of the follown condition for allowance; (2) a No	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply ex no event, however, wi Examiner Note: If box	If the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
filing the Notice of Appe a Notice of Appeal has	eal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte	bliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS							
(a) They raise new is		but prior to the date of filing a brief, nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
		tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present add		corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
	See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
	vercome the following rejection(s)		mphane runoramon	(
 Newly proposed or am non-allowable claim(s). 	ended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate,		•			
how the new or amende	, the proposed amendment(s): a) ed claims would be rejected is pro s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	<u> </u>						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4</u> Claim(s) withdrawn fron AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVID	n consideration:						
 The affidavit or other every because applicant failed 	ridence filed after a final action, bu	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
 The affidavit or other eventered because the af showing a good and su 	ridence filed after the date of filing fidavit or other evidence failed to of fficient reasons why it is necessar	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other ∈ REQUEST FOR RECONSIDI		on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.			
11. The request for recons	sideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Info 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation</u>	rmation Disclosure Statement(s). on Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	1	D-			
			Tainah D.D. tai				
. (3)			Tejash D Patel Primary Examiner				

Art Unit: 3765

Continuation of 13. Other: The reply filed on 6/14/07 does not offer a clear reason to present a prima facie case of obviousness over Holmes '739 and Spitler '374. For the record as mentioned in the previous office action mailed on 4/9/07 the recitation "adapted to " in claims 1, 13, 15, 16, and 18 has not been given patentable weight since it does not positively limit the metes and bounds of patent protection as desired.